

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Vriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/10/2003

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/976,511 10/11/2001 Adam A. Wu 41664/DMC/V165 2604 23363 07/10/2003 CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP **EXAMINER** 350 WEST COLORADO BOULEVARD MEYER, DAVID C SUITE 500 PASADENA, CA 91105 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2878

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	111	
Office Acti n Summary	09/976,511	WU ET AL.	U	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	David C. Meyer	2878		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears In the cover she t	t with the c rrespondenc a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the second period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mayon. a reply within the statutory minimum of leriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mostatute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	05 May 2003.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur			he merits is	
Disposition of Claims	P			
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application of the application	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-7,12,13,17,18 and 22</u> is/are allowed.			
<u> </u>	oweu.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,8-11,16 and 21</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,14,15,19,20 and 23-25</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) 2-4, 14, 15, 15, 25 and 25-25 Israle	•			
Application Papers	navor election requirement.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Exami	ner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received in	Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa* See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))) .	l Stage	
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).	
 a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper N of Informal Patent Application (P	· · · ——	

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see page 11, line 12 to page 16, line 31 of Amendment A, filed May 5, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-4, 8-11, 16, 21, and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Faifman (US 6,208,446) and Spirig (US 5,160,850).
- 2. The objections to claims 5-7, 12, 13, 17, 18, and 22, currently amended, are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 8-11, 16, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Faifman (US 6,208,446).

Regarding claim 8, Faifman discloses an optical communication apparatus comprising photodetectors **D1-DN**, AC coupled amplifiers **A1,A2**, and DC coupled circuitry that could be called a loss of signal circuit. The photodetectors detect ambient

Art Unit: 2878

light, including data signals transmitted by an LED array. An integrator INT integrates a current signal corresponding to the intensity of ambient light and outputs a voltage signal to a threshold level generator TLG, which produces a signal corresponding to an instantaneous ambient light intensity. This signal is compared by a comparator CMP to a signal from a peak detector PD that detects the peak output from a limiter amplifier A3. During the transmission of data signals, the signal detected by the peak detector exceeds the signal produced by the threshold level generator, and the comparator outputs a HIGH signal. When data signals are not being transmitted, the signal produced by the threshold level generator exceeds the signal detected by the peak detector, and the comparator outputs a LOW signal. A gate control circuit GTC enables a gated amplifier A5 in response to a HIGH signal and disables the gated amplifier in response to a LOW signal. Hence, when data signals are absent, or "lost," the DC coupled circuitry consisting of INT, TLG, PD, CMP, and GTC affects a gating function. Faifman teaches that this circuitry improves a signal to noise ratio. Because the claims do not specify what the loss of signal signal is used for, any signal that is produced in response to the loss or absence of an optical signal and that affects something could be called a loss of signal signal. (See Fig. 1 and column 2, line 47 to column 4, line 19.)

Regarding claim 9, amplifiers A1,A2 are transimpedance amplifiers.

Regarding claims 1, 10, 11, 21 integrator **INT** converts a DC current into a voltage signal. Comparator **CMP** compares a voltage signal from threshold level generator **TLG** to a voltage signal supplied by peak detector **PD**.

Regarding claim 16, the apparatus of Faifman performs all of the recited steps.

Art Unit: 2878

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spirig (US 5,160,850). Spirig discloses a device that detects the interruption of a light beam generated by a laser source 10. The device comprises a photodetector 30, an AC coupled amplifier stage 22,24, and a DC level discriminator 26 that is DC coupled to the photodetector. The DC level discriminator compares the DC current from the photodetector to a reference voltage. When an object obstructs the path of the light beam, photocurrent falls and the output of the DC level discriminator falls correspondingly indicating an obstruction in the beam path, or loss of beam continuity.

(See Figs. 1 and 2 and column 2, line 18 to column 3, line 51.)

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-4, 14, 15, 19, 20, and 23-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 2-4, the prior art of record does not disclose or fairly suggest the invention as claimed, specifically wherein in addition to a DC coupled LOS circuit and an AC coupled amplifier a current mirror current to voltage circuit is disclosed.

Regarding claims 14, 19, and 24, the prior art of record does not disclose or fairly teach the invention as claimed, specifically wherein a translator circuit for adjusting a LOS signal follows a DC coupled LOS circuit.

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claims 15, 20, and 25, the prior art of record does not disclose or fairly teach the invention as claimed, specifically wherein a circuit is provided for generating a reference voltage separate from a data voltage signal.

Regarding claim 23, the prior art of record does not disclose or fairly teach the invention as claimed, specifically wherein the DC coupled LOS circuit includes current mirror that receives a temperature and process compensated signal generated by a process and temperature sensor.

- 7. Claims 5-7, 12, 13, 17, 18, and 22 are allowed. Allowable subject matter which was indicated in the previous Office Action is not included here.
- 8. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David C. Meyer whose telephone number is 703-305-7955. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on 703-308-4852. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2878

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0935.

DCM June 23, 2003

DAVID PORTA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800